



A Bibliometric Analysis on Chemistry Virtual Laboratory

Análisis Bibliométrico de Laboratorios de Química virtual

Kartimi Kartimi,¹ Yunita Yunita,¹ Istiqomah Addiin² and Ari Syahidul Shidiq³

Recepción: 12/09/2021.

Aceptación: 21/11/2021

Resumen

El laboratorio virtual es un tema creciente de investigación en la educación química durante la pandemia de COVID-19. Creando opción de soluciones alternativas que puedan proporcionar conocimientos y habilidades a estudiantes. Por ello, es necesario de tener una revisión del alcance de investigaciones y publicaciones que aplican laboratorios virtuales para planificar oportunidades y desafíos en este tema. El presente estudio revisa la literatura bibliométrica de laboratorios virtuales en la educación química. Para la búsqueda de datos y su proyección fueron aplicados el gratuito VOSviewer y el software PoP. Con un total de 117 artículos relacionados recolectados en la base de datos de Google Scholar desde el 2011 al 2021, seleccionados por título y requisitos del resumen aplicados como recursos de la revisión. El estudio indica que se desarrollaron laboratorios virtuales en diversos temas químicos tal como los enlaces químicos, el equilibrio químico y ácido-base. El desarrollo de laboratorios virtuales en otros temas que implica a los estudiantes como desarrolladores en los campos de ciencia, tecnología, ingeniería y matemáticas (STEM) será un tema alternativo para futuras investigaciones. El presente estudio podrá proporcionar una proyección de laboratorios virtuales en educación química para comprender mejor desafíos y oportunidades de la implementación e investigación de laboratorios virtuales en educación química.

Palabras clave

Análisis bibliométrico, educación química, aprendizaje en línea, laboratorio virtual

Abstract

A virtual laboratory is a growing research topic in chemistry education during the COVID-19 pandemic. It is a promising alternative solution to equip students with laboratory knowledge and skills. A review of the extent of research and publications using virtual laboratories is needed to map the opportunities and challenges on this topic. Therefore, this study reviews bibliometric literature on virtual laboratories in chemistry education. Free VOSviewer and PoP software were used for data mining and mapping. A total of 117 related articles retrieved from the Google Scholar database from 2011 to 2021, selected based on the title and abstract requirements, were used as the source of the review. This study indicated that a virtual laboratory had been developed on various chemical topics such as chemical bonds, chemical equilibrium, and acid-base. Developing virtual laboratories on other topics that involve students as developers by providing STEM projects can be an alternative topic for further research. It is expected that this study provides a mapping of virtual laboratories in chemistry education to better understand the challenges and opportunities of implementing and researching virtual laboratories in chemistry education.

Keywords

Bibliometric analysis, chemistry education, online learning, virtual laboratory

¹ Biology Education Study Program, IAIN Syekh Nurjati Cirebon, Indonesia.

² Science Education, Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia.

³ Chemistry Education, Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia.

Introduction

Chemistry learning should be able to integrate contextual chemistry problems from the real world, train the thinking skills needed, and present the sophistication of 21st-century learning technology (Griffin, McGaw, & Care, 2015; Shidiq & Yamtinah, 2019; Trilling & Fadel, 2010). In online chemistry learning during the COVID-19 pandemic, the use of technology to present contextual learning is a major need (Fuad, Ariyani, Suyanto, & Shidiq, 2020; Juanda, Shidiq, & Nasrudin, 2021; Shidiq, Permanasari, Hernani, & Hendayana, 2021b).

Many studies have developed and integrated technology in chemistry learning, such as the use of online video tutorial technology in analytical chemistry learning (He, Swenson, & Lents, 2012), digital animation in high school (Al-Balushi, Al-Musawi, Ambusaidi, & Al-Hajri, 2017; Musawi, Ambusaidi, & Al-Balushi, 2015), and Virtual Laboratory technology including Virtual Reality and Augmented Reality (Macariu, Iftene, & Gifu, 2020; Naese et al., 2019; Ovens, Ellyard, Hawkins, & Spagnoli, 2020). A virtual laboratory is widely used because not all chemical materials can be explained through lab experiments. Some material characteristics tend to be submicroscopic, so it is not easy to explain in a real laboratory (Faulconer, Griffith, Wood, Acharyya, & Roberts, 2018). In learning chemistry, macroscopic, sub-microscopic, and symbolic representations should be understood. However, most teachers only explain in class without visually showing the relationship between these three levels so that students do not understand these materials (Ali, 2012; Redhana, Sudria, Hidayat, & Merta, 2017).

Therefore, learning media that can accommodate the multiple linkages of chemical representations is needed (Habig, 2020; Irby, Borda, & Haupt, 2018; Widarti, Rokhim, & Muchson, 2021). A virtual laboratory is an answer to these problems. Visualization is essential in connecting the macroscopic, submicroscopic, and symbolic levels while the virtual laboratory is an appropriate tool in achieving visualization (N. A. R. Herga, Glažar, & Dinevski, 2015). This is reinforced by research results that show promising results when chemistry learning using a virtual laboratory is applied at the primary school level (N. A. R. Herga et al., 2015; N. R. Herga, Cagran, & Dinevski, 2016; Nuić & Glažar, 2020).

In addition, research related to virtual laboratories has been carried out considering the function of virtual laboratories that can help students understand abstract concepts from chemistry (Amin & Ikhsan, 2021; Nuić & Glažar, 2020; Salame & Makki, 2021; Saputro, Saerozi, & Ardiansyah, 2020). Several studies reveal that virtual laboratory implementation contributes to students' meaningful learning by enabling the concretization of abstract subjects. These applications positively support students' interest, excitement, and motivation for the science course because they are attractive (Penn & Ramnarain, 2019; Yildirim, 2021). The use of virtual laboratories has become an increasing issue regarding science laboratories due to the increasing cost of hands-on laboratories and the increase in distance education (Hadisaputra, Ihsan, Gunawan, & Ramdani, 2020).

Although virtual laboratories cannot wholly replace actual experiments, they can be an effective complementary tool (Hawkins & Phelps, 2013; H. Ramos & Nieto, 2016). A series of limitations, such as health, safety, and lack of equipment, are obstacles to carrying out practical activities in the school laboratory (Kamaliya, Fibonacci, & Azizati, 2020). These can be minimized using virtual laboratories with several advantages over physical laboratories. The

advantage is that it allows for expensive and dangerous experiments, and observations that occur too quickly or too slowly in the real world can be observed through a virtual laboratory. Students can observe or simulate chemical experiments that are difficult to implement in the classroom, where observation or measurement is not facilitated, poses a health risk, or requires expensive supplies or equipment that are rarely available (Diwakar et al., 2015).

Virtual laboratories are not only used at the university level, which has a range of complex and abstract materials, but they are also used at the senior and junior high school levels to introduce chemistry to students (Abdel-Maksoud, 2018; S. Ramos, Pimentel, Marietto, & Botelho, 2016; Wijayanti, Sugiyarto, & Ikhsan, 2019). In addition, another study demonstrated the use of virtual labs in the role of dynamic visualization for a better understanding of chemistry in primary schools which showed promising results (N. A. R. Herga et al., 2015; N. R. Herga et al., 2016). This is one of the good effects of using a virtual laboratory and provides advantages for students and teachers in the future (Hillmayr, Ziernwald, Reinhold, Hofer, & Reiss, 2020; Nuić & Glažar, 2020).

Several studies discussing virtual laboratories show that this topic is an alternative learning media for a better learning process in chemistry education, especially during distance learning. A review of the extent of research and publications using this virtual laboratory is necessary to understand this domain better. Therefore, this study aims to provide a bibliometric literature review to analyze the literature on virtual laboratories. Furthermore, it analyzes the challenges and opportunities of using virtual laboratories for teaching and learning purposes. It is hoped that this study can be a reference for further insights and research opportunities in the field of virtual laboratories in chemistry education.

Methodology

Research Design

The bibliometric literature review method was used in this study to highlight the development of a virtual laboratory in chemistry education. Five stages of research were used to conduct a systematic review and obtain valid data (Garza-Reyes & Arturo, 2015; Hudha et al., 2020; Mulyawati & Ramadhan, 2021; Shidiq, Permanasari, Hernani, & Hendayana, 2021a). These stages are shown in Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Stages of Bibliometric Literature Review.

The following is a literature data processing stage.

1. Determining Keywords

In this study, general keywords were used, namely “chemistry learning” and “virtual laboratory” or “virtual lab”. These keywords were then used to obtain literature data in the Google Scholar database through the PoP 7 software (Publish or Perish 7).

2. Initial Literature Data Mining

The initial data mining was done with Publish or Perish (PoP) Software. This software can be downloaded for free (<https://harzing.com/resources/publish-or-perish/windows>). The keywords above and the criteria for the year 2011–2021 were used in the data mining process. Based on these criteria, 117 articles were obtained. This software mines articles from the Google Scholar database. Further analysis of this data showed that a total of 772 citations were found in the articles obtained. This indicated that the articles were attractive to readers. In more detail, the results of data mining are shown in Table 1.

No	Initial literature data mining	Results
1	Publication years	2011-2021
2	Papers	117
3	Citations	772
4	Authors/paper	2.41
5	H-index	14

TABLE 1. Initial Data Mining Metrics.

3. Literature Data Selection

The literature data selection was done manually by reading the article’s title related to the research topics. At the initial data mining results, a lot of literature was not under the research topic so that the articles were dropped and deleted. After going through the selection process, 61 relevant articles were obtained. The data matrix at this stage is shown in Table 2.

No	Literature data selection	Results
1	Publication years	2011-2021
2	Papers	61
3	Citations	503
4	Authors/paper	2.44
5	H-index	8

TABLE 2. Literature Data Selection Results.

4. Final Data Mining

A total of 61 article titles obtained from PoP software were then downloaded from Google Scholar. This aimed to analyze the content of the article more deeply. Each article obtained was reviewed, including the chemistry topics and kinds of virtual labs. This is important to review for mapping research opportunities.

5. Literature Data Extraction and Analysis

Data extraction was completed using the *VOSviewer* software. *VOSviewer* is software for constructing and visualizing bibliometric networks. Those networks may also consist of journals, researchers, or character courses and be constructed based on citation, bibliographic coupling, co-quotation, or co-authorship family members. This software can be downloaded for free (<https://www.vosviewer.com/>). The analysis in this study focused on the keyword network visualization, overlay visualization, virtual laboratory development sites, types of virtual laboratories, chemistry topics, and level of education.

Results and Discussion

Visualization Topic Area of Virtual Laboratory in Chemistry Education

After the literature dataset was analyzed using *VOSviewer*, 3 clusters (red, green, and blue) were obtained. Based on the data from the *VOSviewer* analysis, bibliometric mapping was obtained in three different visualizations, namely network visualization (Figure 2), overlay visualization (Figure 3), and density visualization (Figure 5). The three visualizations show the relationship of keywords that often appear from 61 articles.

The results of the network visualization are shown in Figure 2 with three color clusters. The first cluster in blue is the chemistry learning cluster which consists of 6 keywords. The blue group reflects research that emphasizes the object and content under study; the keyword shown is “chemistry learning and students”. The second cluster in red is the virtual laboratory cluster with 11 keywords. The red group reflects the research variable. This data is denoted by the keywords “virtual laboratory, attitude, effectiveness, and COVID”. The last cluster in green is the online learning cluster with 11 keywords. The green group reflects the media and methods used, indicated by “virtual laboratory, simulation, and project”.

Figure 2 shows that COVID, online learning, and virtual laboratory are in the same cluster (red area). This shows that there is a close relationship between them. This happened because of the demand for online learning and virtual labs during the COVID-19 pandemic to support the chemistry learning process (Mahaffey, 2020). The size of the circles and letters in the network visualization indicates the frequency of occurrences. The larger the circle size and visible letters, the more these keywords appear in the literature. It appears that the words “virtual laboratory, online learning, chemistry learning, and student” have a larger circle and letter than other words. Thus, these words can be used as current topics to be researched until 2021.




FIGURE 2. Network Visualization.

Figure 3 shows an overlay visualization. This map analyzes trends from year-to-year related research on virtual laboratories in chemistry education. The yellow circles indicate the latest research trends from 2020 to 2021. From Figure 3, “project, COVID, and process” are keywords that often appear in current research. The development of online learning and virtual laboratory research is increasing during the COVID-19 pandemic due to the limitations of teachers in conducting physical experiments (Buchberger, Evans, & Doolittle, 2020; Widarti et al., 2021). This is following the results found from 61 articles in this study. In general, the number of publications from 2020 to 2021 about virtual laboratories in chemistry learning tends to increase compared to that in the year before the pandemic. These data are shown in Figure 4.




FIGURE 3. Overlay Visualization.




FIGURE 4. Comparison of Publications Per Year.

smooth, and customizable. The analysis results are shown in Figure 6 with 37 points from the first author’s affiliation. This number includes institutions from several continents. In general, research related to virtual laboratories in chemistry seems to have been developed in the central part of Europe, where the dots appear to be converging. However, of the selected 61 articles, not many researchers from the Asian, African, and Australian regions have used virtual laboratories in chemistry education. This can be a research opportunity that needs to be explored with more comprehensive content, in terms of chemistry topics and the virtual laboratory technology used.



FIGURE 6. Author Affiliation Mapping.

Pedagogical Aspect

The use of virtual laboratories in chemistry education cannot be separated from the pedagogical aspects, including learning methods, chemistry topics, and educational levels. Based on the analysis, before the COVID-19 pandemic, virtual laboratories became an alternative practicum method to increase student interest in chemistry (Cai, Wang, & Chiang, 2014), teach abstract concepts (Crandall et al., 2015; Irby et al., 2018), and save budget on tools and materials (Georgiou, Dimitropoulos, & Manitsaris, 2007). However, during the pandemic that requires online distance learning, virtual laboratories become a necessity. Students cannot do practical work in the laboratory and can only do simple chemistry practicum at their homes (Kolil & Muthupalani, 2020; Mahaffey, 2020). Virtual laboratory with its various forms enables online practicum (Kennepohl, 2021).

In early 2020 when the COVID-19 pandemic began to spread, all existing fields, especially education, began to adjust. Chemistry learning which essentially requires practical activities with natural objects was also adjusted and changed (Erduran, 2020). The use of technology in chemistry practicum, including virtual laboratories, is an alternative method for teachers and researchers to deal with learning during the pandemic. This has the advantage of allowing students to explore the content of science and technology in its application; it can also train critical thinking skills in solving a problem and creativity in designing science and technology (Saputro et al., 2020).

No	Type of Virtual Laboratory	Chemistry Topic	Authors
1	LabLife3D the virtual learning environment	Microbiology and organic chemistry	(Qvist, 2015)
2	Education Information Network and the PhET web page	Matter, its characteristics and changes, and pure substances and mixtures.	(Yildirim, 2021)
3	Virtual Reality (VR)	Chemical bonding	(Astuti, Sugiyarto, & Ikhsan, 2019)
4	Gamified 3D virtual learning environment designed	Acid and base reaction	(Shudayfat, Moldoveanu, Moldoveanu, Grădinaru, & Dascălu, 2015)
5	VirtuaLabQ integrated to hands-on laboratory	Chemical Transformations	(S. Ramos et al., 2016)

TABLE 3. Types and Topics in the Developed Virtual Laboratory.

Virtual laboratories developed in the last ten years have various types and topics. Table 3 shows the variation of these aspects. Several studies have developed a gaming-based virtual laboratory (Shudayfat et al., 2015; Stefan & Moldoveanu, 2015). Virtual games are proven to be an excellent educational tool as they allow the users to visualize, explore, manipulate, and interact with objects and information in a computer-generated environment (Shudayfat et al., 2015).

The chemistry topics developed into a virtual laboratory also vary widely, from the classification of substances to organic and inorganic chemistry. This indicates that the material is not necessarily difficult and complicated requiring explanations from a virtual laboratory, but a simple material such as substance classification can also create a virtual laboratory for junior high school students (N. A. R. Herga et al., 2015). The introduction of chemistry in junior high schools, especially using virtual laboratories, is still rare. This can be seen from the 61 reviewed articles where only 10% of the studies involve junior high school students as the subjects. Complete data on the education level of the research subject is presented in Figure 7.




FIGURE 7. Focus Research Subject

Figure 7 shows the dominant level of education using virtual laboratories is the university level. Virtual laboratory learning can still be carried out at lower levels. For the current situation in the COVID-19 pandemic, senior and junior high school students are required to participate in technology-based learning such as virtual laboratories. The mastery of technology is a prerequisite for the students to participate in online learning activities. Therefore, educators and researchers can expand research related to virtual laboratories in other subjects that require practical activities.

Conclusion

Many virtual laboratories have been developed to overcome the limited physical practicum in the laboratory. Based on the overlay visualization of this study, the current trend of virtual laboratory research is the use of virtual laboratories as student project assignments. In addition, based on the mapping of the affiliation of the first author of the study, this research is mostly done in America and Europe. This allows other regions to develop research in this field. Analysis of the types of virtual laboratories developed has varied from web-based to game-based. However, virtual laboratory learning is still mainly carried out at the university level, where the materials developed are quite complex. Meanwhile, virtual laboratories can still be used at lower levels, such as senior and junior high school, which can be further research opportunities.

References

- Abdel-Maksoud, N. F. (2018). When virtual becomes better than real: Investigating the impact of a networking simulation on learning and motivation. *International Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(4), 253–270. <https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.61.2018.64.253.270>
- Al-Balushi, S. M., Al-Musawi, A. S., Ambusaidi, A. K., & Al-Hajri, F. H. (2017). The Effectiveness of Interacting with Scientific Animations in Chemistry Using Mobile Devices on Grade 12 Students' Spatial Ability and Scientific Reasoning Skills. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 26(1), 70–81. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-016-9652-2>
- Ali, T. (2012). A case study of the common difficulties experienced by high school students in chemistry classroom in gilgit-baltistan (Pakistan). *SAGE Open*, 2(2), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244012447299>
- Amin, D. I., & Ikhsan, J. (2021). Improving higher order thinking skills via semi second life. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 10(1), 261–274. <https://doi.org/10.12973/EU-JER.10.1.261>
- Astuti, T. N., Sugiyarto, K. H., & Ikhsan, J. (2019). Using Virtual Reality toward Students's Scientific Attitude in Chemical Bonding. *European Journal of Education Studies*, 6(2), 224–238. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2958411>
- Babinčáková, M., & Bernard, P. (2020). Online experimentation during covid-19 secondary school closures: Teaching methods and student perceptions. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 97(9), 3295–3300. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.0c00748>
- Buchberger, A. R., Evans, T., & Doolittle, P. (2020). Analytical chemistry online? Lessons learned from transitioning a project lab online due to covid-19. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 97(9), 2976–2980. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.0c00799>
- Cai, S., Wang, X., & Chiang, F. K. (2014). A case study of Augmented Reality simulation system application in a chemistry course. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 37, 31–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.04.018>

- Crandall, P. G., Engler, R. K., Beck, D. E., Killian, S. A., O'Bryan, C. A., Jarvis, N., & Clausen, E. (2015). Development of an augmented reality game to teach abstract concepts in food chemistry. *Journal of Food Science Education*, 14(1), 18–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4329.12048>
- Diwakar, S., Kumar, D., Radhamani, R., Nizar, N., Nair, B., Sasidharakurup, H., & Achuthan, K. (2015). Role of ICT-enabled virtual laboratories in biotechnology education: Case studies on blended and remote learning. *International Conference on Interactive Collaborative Learning*, 1–7. ieeexplore.ieee.org.
- Erduran, S. (2020). Science education in the era of a pandemic how can history, philosophy and sociology of science contribute to education for understanding and solving the Covid-19 Crisis? *Science & Education*, 29, 233–235.
- Faulconer, E. K., Griffith, J. C., Wood, B. L., Acharyya, S., & Roberts, D. L. (2018). A comparison of online and traditional chemistry lecture and lab. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 19(1), 392–397. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7RP00173H>
- Fuad, M., Ariyani, F., Suyanto, E., & Shidiq, A. S. (2020). Exploring Teachers' TPCK: Are Indonesian Language Teachers Ready for Online Learning during the COVID-19 Outbreak? *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(11B), 6091–6102. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.082245>
- Garza-Reyes, & Arturo, J. (2015). Lean and green—a systematic review of the state of the art literature. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 102, 18–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.04.064>
- Georgiou, J., Dimitropoulos, K., & Manitsaris, A. (2007). A virtual reality laboratory for distance education in chemistry. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 1(11), 345–352.
- Giri, S., & Dutta, P. (2020). Identifying Challenges and Opportunities in Teaching Chemistry Online in India amid COVID-19. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 98(2), 694–699.
- Griffin, P., McGaw, B., & Care, E. (2015). Assessment and Teaching of 21st Century Skills. In P. Griffi & E. Care (Eds.), *Assessment and teaching of 21st century skills*. Melbourne: Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9395-7>
- Habig, S. (2020). Who can benefit from augmented reality in chemistry? Sex differences in solving stereochemistry problems using augmented reality. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(3), 629–644. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12891>
- Hadisaputra, S., Ihsan, M. S., Gunawan, & Ramdani, A. (2020). The development of chemistry learning devices based blended learning model to promote students' critical thinking skills. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1521(4), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1521/4/042083>
- Hamidah, I., Sriyono, S., & Hudha, M. N. (2020). A Bibliometric Analysis of Covid-19 Research using VOSviewer. *Indonesian Journal of Science and Technology*, 5(2), 209–216. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijost.v5i2.24522>

- Hawkins, I., & Phelps, A. J. (2013). Virtual laboratory vs. traditional laboratory: Which is more effective for teaching electrochemistry? *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 14(4), 516–523. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c3rp00070b>
- He, Y., Swenson, S., & Lents, N. (2012). Online video tutorials increase learning of difficult concepts in an undergraduate analytical chemistry course. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 89(9), 1128–1132. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed200685p>
- Herga, N. A. R., Glažar, S. A., & Dinevski, D. (2015). Dynamic visualization in the virtual laboratory enhances the fundamental understanding of chemical concepts. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 14(3), 351–365.
- Herga, N. R., Cagran, B., & Dinevski, D. (2016). Virtual laboratory in the role of dynamic visualisation for better understanding of chemistry in primary school. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 12(3), 593–608. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2016.1224a>
- Hillmayr, D., Ziernwald, L., Reinhold, F., Hofer, S. I., & Reiss, K. M. (2020). The potential of digital tools to enhance mathematics and science learning in secondary schools: A context-specific meta-analysis. *Computers and Education*, 153(September 2018), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103897>
- Hudha, M. N., Hamidah, I., Permanasari, A., Abdullah, A. G., Rachman, I., & Matsumoto, T. (2020). Low carbon education: A review and bibliometric analysis. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 9(1), 319–329. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.9.1.319>
- Irby, S. M., Borda, E. J., & Haupt, J. (2018). Effects of Implementing a Hybrid Wet Lab and Online Module Lab Curriculum into a General Chemistry Course: Impacts on Student Performance and Engagement with the Chemistry Triplet. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 95(2), 224–232. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.7b00642>
- Juanda, A., Shidiq, A. S., & Nasrudin, D. (2021). Teacher Learning Management: Investigating Biology Teachers' TPACK To Conduct Learning During the Covid-19 Outbreak. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 10(1), 48–59. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v10i1.26499>
- Kamaliya, D. H., Fibonacci, A., & Azizati, Z. (2020). Development of Sciences Generic Skills Assessment (SGSA) Instrument: Basic Chemistry Practicum. *Thabiea : Journal of Natural Science Teaching*, 3(1), 31–40. <https://doi.org/10.21043/thabiea.v3i1.7096>
- Kartimi, Gloria, R. Y., & Anugrah, I. R. (2021). Chemistry online distance learning during the covid-19 outbreak: Do tpack and teachers' attitude matter? *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 10(2), 228–240. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v10i2.28468>
- Kennepohl, D. (2021). Laboratory Activities to Support Online Chemistry Courses: A Literature Review. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*. Retrieved from <https://cdnsciencepub.com/doi/abs/10.1139/cjc-2020-0506>
- Kolil, V. K., & Muthupalani, S. (2020). Virtual experimental platforms in chemistry laboratory education and its impact on experimental self-efficacy. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(1), 1–22. Retrieved from <https://educationaltechnologyjournal.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s41239-020-00204-3>

- Lestiyawati, R., & Widyantoro, A. (2020). Strategies and Problems Faced by Indonesian Teachers in Conducting E- Learning System During COVID-19 Outbreak. *Culture, Literature, Linguistics, English Teaching*, 2(1), 71–82.
- Macariu, C., Iftene, A., & Gifu, D. (2020). Learn chemistry with augmented reality. *Procedia Computer Science*, 176, 2133–2142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2020.09.250>
- Mahaffey, A. L. (2020). Chemistry in a cup of coffee: Adapting an online lab module for teaching specific heat capacity of beverages to health sciences students during the COVID pandemic. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*, 48(5), 528–531. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.21439>
- Mulyawati, I. B., & Ramadhan, D. F. (2021). Bibliometric and Visualized Analysis of Scientific Publications on Geotechnics Fields. *ASEAN Journal of Science and Engineering Education*, 1(1), 37–46.
- Musawi, A., Ambusaidi, A., & Al-Balushi. (2015). Effectiveness Of E-Lab Use in Science Teaching at the Omani. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology* -, 14(1), 45–52.
- Naese, J. A., McAteer, D., Hughes, K. D., Kelbon, C., Mugweru, A., & Grinias, J. P. (2019). Use of Augmented Reality in the Instruction of Analytical Instrumentation Design. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 96(3), 593–596. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.8b00794>
- Nuić, I., & Glažar, S. A. (2020). The Effect of e-Learning Strategy at Primary School Level on Understanding Structure and States of Matter. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 16(2).
- Ovens, M., Ellyard, M., Hawkins, J., & Spagnoli, D. (2020). Developing an Augmented Reality Application in an Undergraduate DNA Precipitation Experiment to Link Macroscopic and Submicroscopic Levels of Chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 97(10), 3882–3886. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.0c00481>
- Pence, H. E., Williams, A. J., & Belford, R. E. (2015). New Tools and Challenges for Chemical Education: Mobile Learning, Augmented Reality, and Distributed Cognition in the Dawn of the Social and Semantic Web. *Chemistry Education: Best Practices, Opportunities and Trends*, 693–734. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527679300.ch28>
- Penn, M., & Ramnarain, U. (2019). South African university students’ attitudes towards chemistry learning in a virtually simulated learning environment. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 20(4), 699–709. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c9rp00014c>
- Ponce Gallegos, J. C., Ornelas Zapata, F. J., Muñoz Arteaga, J., & Esquivel Arellano, A. (2019). Augmented reality in the development of a virtual chemistry laboratory. *Proceedings - 14th Latin American Conference on Learning Technologies, LACLO 2019*, 393–396. <https://doi.org/10.1109/LACLO49268.2019.00072>
- Qvist, P. (2015). *Lablife3d – A Science- Based 3D Virtual Learning Environment Designing Virtual Laboratories : From pedagogical Designing virtual laboratories*. Turku University.

- Ramos, H., & Nieto, S. (2016). Dynamic visualization of the relative position of straight lines on the plane using Mathematica. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, 02-04-Nove*, 831–838. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3012430.3012614>
- Ramos, S., Pimentel, E. P., Marietto, M. das G. B., & Botelho, W. T. (2016). Hands-on and virtual laboratories to undergraduate chemistry education: Toward a pedagogical integration. *Frontiers in Education Conference*, 1–8. ieeexplore.ieee.org.
- Redhana, I. W., Sudria, I. B. N., Hidayat, I., & Merta, L. M. (2017). Identification of chemistry learning problems viewed from conceptual change model. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 6(2), 356–364. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v6i1.9594>
- Salame, I. I., & Makki, J. (2021). Examining the Use of PhET Simulations on Students' Attitudes and Learning in General Chemistry II. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 17(4), 1–9.
- Saputro, B., Saerozi, M., & Ardiansyah, F. (2020). Philosophical reflections: Critical analysis of learning strategies for science practicum during the covid-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Recent Educational Education*, 1(2), 78–89.
- Shidiq, A. S., & Yamtinah, S. (2019). Pre-service chemistry teachers' attitudes and attributes toward the twenty-first century skills. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1157(4). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1157/4/042014>
- Shidiq, Ari Syahidul., & Yamtinah, S. (2019). Pre-service chemistry teachers' attitudes and attributes toward the twenty-first century skills. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1157(4), 042014. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1157/4/042014>
- Shidiq, Ari Syahidul, Permanasari, A., Hernani, H., & Hendayana, S. (2021a). The use of simple spectrophotometer in STEM education : A bibliometric analysis. *Moroccan Journal of Chemistry*, 9(1), 290–300. <https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.48317/IMIST.PRSM/morjchem-v9i2.27581>
- Shidiq, Ari Syahidul, Permanasari, A., Hernani, & Hendayana, S. (2021b). Chemistry teacher responses to learning in the COVID-19 outbreak: Challenges and opportunities to create innovative lab-work activities. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1806(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1806/1/012195>
- Shudayfat, E. A., Moldoveanu, F., Moldoveanu, A., Grădinaru, A., & Dascălu, M. I. (2015). 3D game-like virtual environment for chemistry learning. *UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series C: Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, 77(1), 15–26.
- Stefan, L., & Moldoveanu, F. (2015). Gamified 3D Virtual Learning Environment for Improved Students' Motivation and Learning Evaluation. A Case Study On "3DUPB" Campus. *The 11th International Scientific Conference ELearning and Software for Education*, 15, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.1561.2002>
- Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2010). 21st Century Skills: Learning for Life in Our Times. *Choice Reviews Online*, 47(10), 47-5788-47–5788. <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.47-5788>

- Widarti, H. R., Rokhim, D. A., & Muchson, M. (2021). Developing Integrated Triplet Multi-Representation Virtual Laboratory in Analytic Chemical Materials. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, 15(8), 119–135.
- Wijayanti, R., Sugiyarto, K. H., & Ikhsan, J. (2019). Effectiveness of using virtual chemistry laboratory integrated hybrid learning to students' learning achievement. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1156(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1156/1/012031>
- Yildirim, F. S. (2021). The effect of virtual laboratory applications on 8th grade students' achievement in science lesson. *Journal of Education in Science, Environment and Health*, 7(2), 171–181.